Š

			0
Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,225	WILSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Albert T. Chou	2616	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) Albert T. Chou.	(3)		
(2) Mark Woodall.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 October 2007</u> .			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Applicant's representative of final page (page 3) of the Action is correct. Applicant "intersecting" as recited in the claims and disclosed in	t's representative further clarifie	erpretation articulate ad the meaning of	<u>d in the</u>
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		•	
Identification of prior art discussed: No.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments:	ral nature of what was agreed to	o if an agreement wa	S
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments that		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to t GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	he last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APPLIC Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LA	ANT IS
			_

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's semble HAM required SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER